

# Characterizing large cardinals in terms of Löwenheim–Skolem and weak compactness properties of strong logics

Trevor Wilson  
Miami University (Ohio)

Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna  
June 26, 2024

# Large cardinals: logical characterizations

## Theorem (Magidor)

$\kappa$  is supercompact iff the LST property holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2$  at  $\kappa$ :  
If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2$  has a model, then it has a submodel of size  $< \kappa$ .

What about weaker large cardinals, e.g., strong cardinals?

## Remark

If  $\kappa$  is strong, then the LS property holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2$  at  $\kappa$ :  
If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2$  has a model, then it has a **model** of size  $< \kappa$ .

But this follows from  $V_\kappa \prec_{\Sigma_2} V$ , so it has no large cardinal strength.  
What about stronger logics, e.g., more infinitary?

## Remark

The LS property cannot hold for  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty\omega}$  at any cardinal:  
This logic can describe any ordinal up to isomorphism.

# Strong cardinals and fragments of $\mathcal{L}_{\infty\infty}^2$

To obtain results along the desired lines, we must consider logic fragments not closed under negation.

## Definition

$\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  is the fragment of second-order infinitary logic obtained from atomic sentences and their negations by:

- infinitary universal quantifiers (arbitrary length),
- finitary existential quantifiers,
- infinitary disjunctions (arbitrary length), and
- conjunctions of length  $< \kappa$ .

## Theorem (W.)

$\kappa$  is strong iff the LS property for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  holds at  $\kappa$ :  
If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  has a model, it has one of size  $< \kappa$ .

# Measurable cardinals

We may obtain similar results for measurable cardinals.

## Proposition (W.)

The following are equivalent.

- $\kappa$  is measurable.
- The **weak** LS property for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  holds at  $\kappa$ :  
If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  has a model of size  $\kappa$ , then it has a model of size  $< \kappa$ .
- The **weak LST** property for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  holds at  $\kappa$ :  
If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  has a model of size  $\kappa$ , then it has a **sub**model of size  $< \kappa$ .

This is probably not very surprising, though: measurable cardinals have many different characterizations, and these ones are not particularly elegant.

Perhaps it is more interesting to consider things like Shelah cardinals.

# Shelah cardinals

Instead of reflection, consider compactness of the dual logic fragment:

## Definition

$\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  is the fragment of second-order infinitary logic obtained from atomic sentences and their negations by:

- infinitary existential quantifiers (arbitrary length),
- finitary universal quantifiers,
- infinitary conjunctions (arbitrary length), and
- disjunctions of length  $< \kappa$ .

## Theorem (Osinski–W.)

$\kappa$  is Shelah iff weak compactness\* holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ :  
If a theory of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  has size  $\kappa$  and every subtheory of size  $< \kappa$  has a model of size  $< \kappa$ , then the theory has a model.

# Proof outline

---

The forward direction (large cardinals  $\implies$  reflection/compactness) of these results uses the following lemma.

## Lemma

Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be an elementary embedding.

Let  $S \in M$  be a structure such that all relations on  $S$  are in  $M$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \exists^\infty)$ .

If  $S \models \varphi$  then  $M \models S \models j(\varphi)$ .

Note that  $j(\varphi)$  is not equal to  $\varphi$  if  $\varphi$  has size  $> \text{crit}(j)$ .

## Forward direction of first theorem

If  $j : V \rightarrow M$  comes from strongness of  $\kappa$ , then from  $M \models S \models j(\varphi)$  and elementarity of  $j$ , we get  $\bar{S} \models \varphi$  for some  $\bar{S}$  of size  $< \kappa$  as desired.

If  $j : V \rightarrow M$  comes from measurability and  $|S| = \kappa$ , this still works.

# Proof outline (Shelah cardinals)

---

- Let  $\kappa$  be a Shelah cardinal.
- We will show weak compactness\* for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ .
- Let  $T = \{\varphi_i : i < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  be a theory.
- Suppose that for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ , the subtheory  $T_\alpha = \{\varphi_i : i < \alpha\}$  has a model  $S_\alpha$  of size  $< \kappa$ .
- Define  $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  by  $f(\alpha) = |S_\alpha| + \omega$ , so  $S_\alpha$  has size  $< f(\alpha)$ .
- Since  $\kappa$  is Shelah, there is an elementary embedding

$$j : V \rightarrow M, \quad \text{crit}(j) = \kappa, \quad V_{j(f)(\kappa)} \subset M.$$

- In  $M$ , by elementarity,  $\{j(\varphi_i) : i < \kappa\}$  has a model  $S$  of size  $< j(f)(\kappa)$ , which implies that all relations on  $S$  are in  $M$ .
- For all  $i < \kappa$ ,  $M \models S \models j(\varphi_i)$  implies  $S \models \varphi_i$  by the lemma.
- Therefore  $S$  satisfies the theory  $T$  as desired.

## Remark on proof of lemma

---

Recall that we used the following:

### Lemma

Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be an elementary embedding.

Let  $S \in M$  be a structure such that all relations on  $S$  are in  $M$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \vee^\infty)$ .

If  $S \models \varphi$  then  $M \models S \models j(\varphi)$ .

It follows from a more general fact proved by induction on formulas:

### Lemma (easy)

Let  $j : V \rightarrow M$  be an elementary embedding.

Let  $S \in M$  be a structure such that all relations on  $S$  are in  $M$ .

Let  $\varphi(X) \in \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \vee^\infty)$  and let  $f : j(X) \rightarrow S \cup \text{Rel}(S)$  be in  $M$ .

If  $S \models \varphi[f \circ j]$  then  $M \models S \models j(\varphi)[f]$ .

The only complication here is that  $j$  may move the variables  $X$  in  $\varphi$ .



# Reverse direction: extenders

To obtain strong/Shelah cardinals from reflection/compactness, we use extenders: set-sized objects corresponding to e.e.s  $j : V \rightarrow M$ .

## Definition (not quite standard)

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be transitive sets.

- $\text{Rel}(X)$  and  $\text{Rel}(Y)$  are the sets of all relations on  $X$  and  $Y$ .
- If  $j : V \rightarrow M$  is an elementary embedding with  $Y \in M$  and  $Y \subset j(X)$ , the  $(X, Y)$ -extender derived from  $j$  is the function

$$h : \text{Rel}(X) \rightarrow \text{Rel}(Y), \quad h(A) = j(A) \upharpoonright Y.$$

- An  $(X, Y)$ -extender means an  $(X, Y)$ -extender derived from some such elementary embedding  $j$ .
- For  $Y \subset X$  there is a **trivial extender** defined by  $h(A) = A \upharpoonright Y$ .
- Otherwise, define the **critical point** of  $h$  as the critical point of  $j$ .

# Large cardinals in terms of extenders

---

## Remark

- $\kappa$  is strong iff for every  $\lambda > \kappa$  there is a  $(V_\kappa, V_\lambda)$ -extender with critical point  $\kappa$ .
- $\kappa$  is measurable iff there is a  $(V_\kappa, V_{\kappa+1})$ -extender with critical point  $\kappa$ . Alternatively: iff there is a  $(\kappa, \kappa + 1)$ -extender.
- $\kappa$  is Shelah iff for all  $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  there is a  $\lambda > \kappa$  and a  $(V_\kappa, V_\lambda)$  extender  $h$  with critical point  $\kappa$  such that  $\kappa \in \text{dom}(h(f))$ .

Note that since  $f$  is a binary relation on  $\kappa$ , it follows that  $h(f)$  is a binary relation on  $\lambda$ , but not necessarily a total function  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ .

## Remark

To be useful, we will need a more concrete definition of extender as a kind of homomorphism, rather than by quantifying over  $j$ .

# Extenders as homomorphisms

## Definition

An  $(X, Y)$ -extender\* is a function  $h : \text{Rel}(X) \rightarrow \text{Rel}(Y)$  such that:

1.  $h$  is a Boolean homomorphism on relations of each arity.
2.  $h(\{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in X^5 : (x_3, x_1, x_4, x_1) \in A\})$   
 $= \{(y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in Y^5 : (y_3, y_1, y_4, y_1) \in h(A)\}$ , etc.
3.  $h(\{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_4) \in X^5 : \exists z \in x_0 (z, x_1, \dots, x_4) \in A\})$   
 $= \{(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_4) \in Y^5 : \exists z \in y_0 (z, y_1, \dots, y_4) \in h(A)\}$ , etc.

\*Actually, unless we add an additional condition this is only a  $(X, Y)$  **pre**-extender, meaning it produces an elementary embedding  $j$  from  $(V, \in)$  to a possibly illfounded model  $(M, E)$  with  $Y \in \text{wfp}(M, E)$ . For this talk that is enough, since strong, measurable, and Shelah cardinals can be witnessed using pre-extenders.

# Proof outline: reverse direction

---

Suppose the LS property holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ :

If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  has a model, it has one of size  $< \kappa$ .

- Suppose toward contradiction that  $\kappa$  is not strong.
- F.s.  $\lambda > \kappa$ , there is no  $(V_\kappa, V_\lambda)$  extender with critical point  $\kappa$ .
- $(V_\lambda, \epsilon) \models \varphi$  where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  says:  
*I am isomorphic to a rank initial segment of  $V$ , and there is no  $(V_\kappa, me)$  extender with critical point  $\geq \kappa$ .*
- Note: critical point  $\geq \kappa$  means no ordinal less than  $\kappa$  is moved.
- By the LS property,  $\varphi$  has a model of size  $< \kappa$ .
- This model must be isomorphic to  $(V_{\bar{\lambda}}, \epsilon)$  for some  $\bar{\lambda} < \kappa$ .
- This is a contradiction:  
 $(V_{\bar{\lambda}}, \epsilon) \not\models \varphi$  since there is a trivial  $(V_\kappa, V_{\bar{\lambda}})$  extender with critical point  $\infty \geq \kappa$  defined by  $h(A) = A \upharpoonright V_{\bar{\lambda}}$ .

# Complexity of $\varphi$

---

We claimed that the following statement is  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$ :

*I am isomorphic to a rank initial segment of  $V$ , and there is no  $(V_\kappa, me)$  extender with critical point  $\geq \kappa$ .*

- “I am a isomorphic to a rank initial segment of  $V$ ” is  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2$ .
- Assuming that is the case and considering the transitive collapse:
- “There is no  $(V_\kappa, me)$  extender” is  $\forall^\infty \forall^\infty \mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2$ .
- Use a second-order variable for each relation on  $V_\kappa$ .
- Use a disjunct for each instance of a relation *among* relations that must be preserved by extenders as homomorphisms, e.g., for each triple  $A, B, C \in \text{Rel}(V_\kappa)$  such that  $A \cap B = C$ .
- $\varphi$  says that for each variable assignment, at least one condition of the homomorphism definition of “extender” fails.
- To control the critical point,  $\forall \alpha < \kappa$  (as a unary relation on  $V_\kappa$ ) we use  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}$  to ensure the value assigned to it in “me” is  $\leq \alpha$ .

# Proof outline: measurable cardinals

---

Suppose the **weak** LS property holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\mathbb{V}^\infty, \mathbb{V}^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ :  
If a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\mathbb{V}^\infty, \mathbb{V}^\infty)$  has a model **of size  $\kappa$** ,  
then it has one of size  $< \kappa$ .

- Suppose toward contradiction that  $\kappa$  is not measurable.
- There is no  $(\kappa, \kappa + 1)$  extender with critical point  $\kappa$ .
- $(\kappa + 1, \epsilon) \models \varphi$  where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\mathbb{V}^\infty, \mathbb{V}^\infty)$  says:  
*I am isomorphic to an ordinal, and  
there is no  $(\kappa, me)$  extender with critical point  $\geq \kappa$ .*
- Since  $\kappa + 1$  has **size  $\kappa$** , by the weak LS property,  $\varphi$  has a model of size  $< \kappa$ . (This is why we use  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa + 1$ , not  $V_\kappa$  and  $V_{\kappa+1}$ .)
- This model must be isomorphic to  $(\bar{\lambda}, \epsilon)$  for some  $\bar{\lambda} < \kappa$ .
- This is a contradiction:  
 $(\bar{\lambda}, \epsilon) \not\models \varphi$  since there is a trivial  $(\kappa, \bar{\lambda})$  extender with critical point  $\infty \geq \kappa$  defined by  $h(A) = A \upharpoonright \bar{\lambda}$ .

# Least strong / least measurable cardinal

If we do not attempt to control the critical point, we do not need  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}$  and a slightly simpler argument gives the following.

## Proposition (W.)

The **least** strong cardinal is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the LS property holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ , meaning if a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  has a model, it has one of size  $< \kappa$ .

In other words, it is the LS number of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$ .

## Proposition (W.)

The **least** measurable cardinal is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the weak LS property holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ , meaning if a sentence of  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  has a model of size  $\kappa$ , it has one of size  $< \kappa$ .

In other words, it is the least cardinal at which we do not get models for any new  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}^2(\forall^\infty, \forall^\infty)$  sentences.

# Proof outline: Shelah cardinals

---

Suppose weak compactness\* holds for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  at  $\kappa$ :

If a theory of  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$  has size  $\kappa$  and every subtheory of size  $< \kappa$  has a model of size  $< \kappa$ , then the theory has a model.

- W.l.o.g. let  $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$  be strictly increasing and  $> \text{id}$ .
- For all  $i \leq \alpha < \kappa$ ,  $(V_{f(\alpha)+1}, \in, \{\alpha\})$  satisfies a sentence  $\varphi_i$  saying:  
*I am isomorphic to a rank initial segment of  $V$  with a distinguished ordinal element of size  $\geq i$ , and there is a  $(V_\kappa, \text{me})$  extender with critical point  $\geq \kappa$  sending  $f$  to a partial function whose domain includes that element.*

This is witnessed by the trivial extender (which has  $\text{crit} = \infty$ .)

- Each  $\varphi_i$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa\omega}^2(\exists^\infty, \wedge^\infty)$ , so by weak compactness\* the theory  $\{\varphi_i : i < \kappa\}$  has a model  $S \cong (V_\lambda, \in, \{\alpha^*\})$  for some  $\alpha^* \geq \kappa$ .
- Then there is a  $(V_\kappa, V_\lambda)$  extender  $h$  with critical point  $\geq \kappa$  sending  $f$  to a partial function whose domain includes  $\alpha^* \geq \kappa$ .
- $h$  has critical point  $\kappa$  and witnesses  $\kappa$  is Shelah with respect to  $f$ .



Thanks for your attention.